

### REMARKS

In the last Action, restriction was required among Species I, II and III. The Examiner stated that the three species are patentably distinct from each other, thereby making restriction proper. Applicant was required to elect one of the three species for further prosecution in this application and to list all claims readable on the elected species.

In response to the restriction requirement, applicant has provisionally elected the species of invention designated as Species I shown in Figs. 3-11 and lists claim 1 as being readable on the elected species. The non-elected claims have been retained in the application pending possible withdrawal of the restriction requirement or allowance of a generic or sub-generic claim.

In light of the foregoing, early and favorable  
action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ADAMS & WILKS  
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 

Bruce L. Adams  
Reg. No. 25,386


17 Battery Place  
Suite 1231  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 809-3700

MAILING CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS NON-FEE AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Donna Riccardulli

Name



Signature

SEPTEMBER 20, 2005

Date